

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 LA PAZ 05714 032232Z

73

ACTION SP-03

INFO OCT-01 ARA-16 ISO-00 PM-07 NSC-07 SS-20 RSC-01 L-03

INR-11 DRC-01 /070 W

----- 013405

P 032100Z SEP 74

FM AMEMBASSY LA PAZ

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4109

INFO USCINCSO

C O N F I D E N T I A L LA PAZ 5714

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, XM, US, BL

SUBJ: CONSULTATIONS AMONG POLICY PLANNING STAFFS

REF: STATE 192211

1. ALTHOUGH I RECOGNIZE THAT BOLIVIA IS NOT A "KEY" COUNTRY IN LATIN AMERICA, I BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL SOUND REASONS FOR INCLUDING IT AMONG THOSE COUNTRIES ON THE CONSULTATION LIST. THE MOST IMPORTANT OF THESE REASONS IS THAT BOLIVIA INEVITABLY WILL BE A FACTOR IN ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN CHILE AND PERU. WITH PROPER ENCOURAGEMENT AND SUPPORT, BOLIVIA COULD PLAY A CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE AND PERHAPS AN IMPORTANT ONE IN PREVENTING CHILEAN-PERUVIAN TENSIONS FROM GETTING OUT OF HAND. OUR CONSULTATIONS MIGHT HELP PRECLUDE BOLIVIA FROM MAKING AN IRRATIONAL MOVE TO SUPPORT ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER AND COMPLICATE THE ISSUES EVEN FURTHER.

2. ANOTHER IMPORTANT REASON IS THAT THE PRESENT BOLIVIAN GOVERNMENT, WHICH TOPPLED AN EXTREMIST AND HOSTILE REGIME, IS VERY FRIENDLY TO US; DISPOSED TO COOPERATE WITH US ON INTERNATIONAL ISSUES; AND IS MOVING TOWARD OPENING ITS DOMESTIC POLITICAL PROCESSES. THESE CONSULTATIONS WOULD HELP ASSURE CONTINUED BOLIVIAN SUPPORT FOR OUR POSITIONS, BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, WOULD PROVIDE A USEFUL SHORING UP OF PRESTIGE FOR THE BANZER REGIME. I BELIEVE BANZER HAS A GOOD CHANCE TO STAY ON UNTIL ELECTIONS SOMETIME IN 1975,  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 LA PAZ 05714 032232Z

BUT HIS ABILITY TO GOVERN EFFECTIVELY DURING THIS TIME AND

TO KEEP THE PROCESS OF CONSTITUTIONALIZATION ON THE TRACK  
WOULD BE ADVANCED IN THE MEASURE THAT HIS PRESTIGE IS  
AUGMENTED. THIS WOULD BE IN OUR INTEREST.

3. A THIRD REASON APPLIES TO ALL THE SMALLER COUNTRIES IN THE  
HEMISPHERE, I.E., OUR NEW DIALOGUE SHOULD BE CARRIED ON WITH  
ALL THE COUNTRIES, NOT JUST THE BIG ONES, OR WE MAY IN THE  
PROCESS OF CONSULTING WITH THE "KEY" COUNTRIES OFFEND THE  
SMALL ONES, PARTICULARLY THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN OUTSPOKENLY  
FRIENDLY. THIS WOULD BE PARTICULARLY TRUE IN BOLIVIA'S CASE  
WERE OUR TEAM TO VISIT PERU AND CHILE AND NOT BOLIVIA.

4. THERE ARE SOME GOB-GROUPS IN EXISTENCE NOW THAT HAVE  
POLICY PLANNING FUNCTIONS AFFECTING FOREIGN POLICY, SUCH  
AS THE COMISION MARITIMA, THE CONSEJO POLITICO NACIONALISTA,  
THE ALTO MANDO MILITAR, AND THE AS YET UNSTAFFED ADVISORY  
COUNCIL TO THE FOREIGN MINISTRY. NEVERTHELESS, EACH OF THESE  
HAS CERTAIN DRAWBACKS, AND IT PROBABLY WOULD BE BETTER IF  
THE GOB FORMED A SPECIAL GROUP OF DECISION MAKERS AND  
PLANNERS TO MEET WITH OUR TEAM. THIS WOULD NOT PRESENT  
A PROBLEM. ON THE CONTRARY, IT WOULD PROVIDE USEFUL PUBLICITY  
HERE AND ENSURE THE KIND OF PARTICIPATION THAT WE WANT.  
I WOULD IMAGINE THAT SUCH A GROUP WOULD BE CHAIRED BY THE  
UNDER-SECRETARY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, MARCELO OSTRIA, AND  
COMPOSED OF SENIOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES, FORMER  
FOREIGN MINISTERS SUCH AS ALBERTO CRESPO AND OTHER EXPERTS  
DRAWN FROM THE GROUPS MENTIONED ABOVE.

5. CERTAINLY THE GOB WOULD WISH TO TALK ABOUT ITS PROBLEM OF  
LACK OF ADEQUATE ACCESS TO THE SEA AND THE CURRENT TENSIONS  
BETWEEN CHILE AND PERU WHICH MIGHT BEAR ON THIS PROBLEM.  
ANOTHER THEME OF INTEREST TO BOTH SIDES IS OUR STOCKPILE  
POLICY ON STRATEGIC ITEMS AND HOW BOLIVIA CAN COOPERATE IN  
THAT POLICY. BOLIVIA OF COURSE WOULD WISH TO DISCUSS CUBA  
POLICY, AND WOULD BE RECEPTIVE TO DISCUSSING WAYS TO UNDERCUT  
STEAMROLLER TACTICS BY THE LDC'S IN INTERNATIONAL FORA.  
AS THE DEPARTMENT KNOWS, BOLIVIA CONTINUES VIGOROUSLY TO  
OPPOSE THE RE-ENTRY OF CUBA INTO THE OAS AND TAKES  
VERY SERIOUSLY OUR PLEDGE TO CONSULT WITH OAS MEMBERS BEFORE  
WE CHANGE OUR CURRENT CUBA POLICY. WE COULD USE THE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 LA PAZ 05714 032232Z

OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN MORE ABOUT THE FOREIGN PLICY OF BRAZIL,  
ARGENTINA AND VENEZUELA AS SEEN THROUGH BOLIVIAN EYES.

6. I BELIEVE IT WOULD BE PRUDENT, AT LEAST IN THE EARLY  
STAGES OF THESE CONSULTATIONS, TO STAGGER THE VISITS TO  
PERU, CHILE AND BOLIVIA SO THAT IT DOES NOT APPEAR  
THAT WE HAVE DECIDED TO TAKE AN ACTIVE PART IN SETTTLING  
THE PROBLEMS INVOLVING THESE COUNTRIES. FOR THE DOMESTIC

POLITICAL REASONS MENTIONED ABOVE, IT WOULD BE PREFERABLE  
TO SCHEDULE THE VISIT TO BOLIVIA EARLIER RATHER THAN LATER.

7. PLEASE ADVISE.  
STEDMAN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, POLITICAL SITUATION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 03 SEP 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** shawdg  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974LAPAZ05714  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740243-1167  
**From:** LA PAZ  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740980/aaaacpkj.tel  
**Line Count:** 120  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SP  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** STATE 192211  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** shawdg  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 SEP 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 SEP 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <26 FEB 2003 by shawdg>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CONSULTATIONS AMONG POLICY PLANNING STAFFS  
**TAGS:** PFOR, XM, US, BL  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005